arXiv:1611.06135v1 [math.CO] 18 Nov 2016

Large Values of the Clustering Coefficient

Michael Gentner[1], Irene Heinrich[2], Simon Jäger[1], Dieter Rautenbach[1]

[1] Institute of Optimization and Operations Research, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany,
michael.gentner, simon.jaeger, dieter.rautenbach@uni-ulm.de

[2] Department of Mathematics, University of Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, Germany,
heinrich@mathematik.uni-kl.de

Abstract

A prominent parameter in the context of network analysis, originally proposed by Watts and Strogatz (Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks, Nature 393 (1998) 440-442), is the clustering coefficient of a graph G. It is defined as the arithmetic mean of the clustering coefficients of its vertices, where the clustering coefficient of a vertex u of G is the relative density $m(G[N_G(u)])/\binom{d_G(u)}{2}$ of its neighborhood if $d_G(u)$ is at least 2, and 0 otherwise. It is unknown which graphs maximize the clustering coefficient among all connected graphs of given order and size.

We determine the maximum clustering coefficients among all connected regular graphs of a given order, as well as among all connected subcubic graphs of a given order. In both cases, we characterize all extremal graphs. Furthermore, we determine the maximum increase of the clustering coefficient caused by adding a single edge.

Keywords: clustering coefficient; connected caveman graph; cliquishness

1 Introduction

Watts and Strogatz [6] proposed the clustering coefficient of a graph in order to quantify the corresponding property of networks. For a vertex u of a graph G, let the *clustering coefficient* of u in G be

$$C_u(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(G[N_G(u)])}{\binom{d_G(u)}{2}} & , \text{ if } d_G(u) \geq 2, \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $m(G[N_G(u)])$ is the size of the subgraph of G induced by the neighborhood $N_G(u)$ of u in G, that is, $m(G[N_G(u)])$ equals exactly the number of triangles of G that contain u. Furthermore, let the *clustering coefficient* of G be the average

$$C(G) = \frac{1}{n(G)} \sum_{u \in V(G)} C_u(G)$$

of the clustering coefficients of its $n(G)$ vertices.

While the clustering coefficient received a lot of attention within social network analysis [1,5,7,8], some fundamental mathematical problems related to it are still open. It is unknown, for instance, which graphs maximize the clustering coefficient among all connected graphs of a given order and size.

Watts [7,8] suggested the so-called *connected caveman* graphs as a possible extremal construction. For integers k and ℓ at least 2, these arise from ℓ disjoint copies $G_1, \ldots, G_\ell$ of $K_{k+1} - e$ arranged cyclically by adding, for every i in $[\ell]$, an edge between one of the two vertices of degree $k-1$ in G_i and one of the $k-1$ vertices of degree k in G_{i+1}, where the indices are identified modulo ℓ. Actually, it is rather obvious that these graphs do not have the largest clustering coefficient among all connected graphs of given order and size, because removing the edge between G_1 and G_2, and adding a new edge between the two vertices of degree $k-1$ in G_1, increases the clustering coefficient.

Fukami and Takahashi [2,3] considered *clustering coefficient locally maximizing graphs* whose clustering coefficient cannot be increased by some local operations such as an edge swap.

In the present paper we determine the maximum clustering coefficients among all connected regular graphs of a given order, as well as among all connected subcubic graphs of a given order. In both cases, we characterize all extremal graphs. Furthermore, we determine the maximum increase of the clustering coefficient caused by adding a single edge.

2 Results

We introduce a slightly modified version of the connected caveman graphs. For integers k and ℓ with $k \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq 2$, let $G(k, \ell)$ be the k-regular connected graph that arises from ℓ disjoint copies $G_1, \ldots, G_\ell$ of $K_{k+1} - e$ arranged cyclically by adding, for every i in $[\ell]$, an edge between a vertex in G_i and a vertex in G_{i+1}, where the indices are identified modulo ℓ. Note that $G(k, \ell)$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the requirement of k-regularity.

Theorem 1 *Let k and n be integers with $n \geq k+2$ and $k \geq 3$. If G is a connected k-regular graph of order n, then*

$$C(G) \leq 1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}$$

with equality if and only if $n/(k+1)$ is an integer and G equals $G(k, n/(k+1))$.

Proof: Let G be a connected k-regular graph of order n. For a non-negative integer i, let V_i be the set of vertices u of G with $m(G[N_G(u)]) = \binom{k}{2} - i$. Since G is connected and has order at least $k+2$, no vertex has a complete neighborhood, that is, V_0 is empty. For a set U of vertices of G, let $\sigma(U) = \sum_{u \in U} C_u(G)$. In order to obtain a useful decomposition of G, we consider some special graphs. For $k \leq 4$, one such graph suffices, while for $k \geq 5$, two more are needed.

Let $G_1, \ldots, G_r$ be a maximal collection of disjoint subgraphs of G that are all copies of $K_{k+1} - e$. Let $A = V(G_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(G_r)$ and $R = V(G) \setminus A$. Note that every vertex in A has at most one neighbor in R. Suppose that R contains a vertex u from V_1. Since every vertex in $N_G(u)$ has at least two neighbors in the closed neighborhood $N_G[u]$ of u, the subgraph G_{r+1} of G induced by $N_G[u]$ does not intersect A and is a copy of $K_{k+1} - e$. Now, $G_1, \ldots, G_r, G_{r+1}$ contradicts the maximality of the above collection, which implies that R does not intersect V_1.

Since each G_i contains $k-1$ vertices from V_1 and two vertices whose neighborhood induces $K_1 \cup K_{k-1}$, and $|A| = r(k+1)$, we have

$$\sigma(A) = \sum_{i \in [r]} \sum_{u \in V(G_i)} C_u(G) = r\left((k-1)\frac{\binom{k}{2}-1}{\binom{k}{2}} + 2\frac{\binom{k-1}{2}}{\binom{k}{2}}\right) = |A|\left(1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}\right).$$

Since R does not intersect V_1, we have

$$\sigma(R) = \sum_{u \in R} C_u(G) \leq |R|\frac{\binom{k}{2}-2}{\binom{k}{2}} = |R|\left(1 - \frac{4}{(k-1)k}\right).$$

First, let $k \leq 4$. Since $1 - \frac{4}{(k-1)k} < 1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}$ in this case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) &= \frac{1}{n(G)}(\sigma(A) + \sigma(R)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n(G)}(|A| + |R|)\left(1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $A = V(G)$ and $R = \emptyset$, which implies that $k+1$ divides n, and G equals $G(k, n/(k+1))$.

Now, let $k \geq 5$. In this case, we need to refine the partition of $V(G)$ into A and R further. Let $G_1, \ldots, G_r$, A, and R be exactly as above, and recall that R does not intersect V_1. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_s$ be a maximal collection of disjoint subgraphs of $G[R]$ that are all copies of the two possible graphs that arise from K_{k+1} by removing two edges. Let $B = V(H_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(H_s)$, and $S = V(G) \setminus (A \cup B)$. Note that every vertex in $A \cup B$ has at most two neighbors in S. Suppose that S contains a vertex u from V_2. Since $k \geq 5$, every vertex in $N_G(u)$ has at least three neighbors in the closed neighborhood $N_G[u]$ of u. Hence, the subgraph H_{s+1} of G induced by $N_G[u]$ does not intersect $A \cup B$ and is a copy of one of the two possible graphs that arise from K_{k+1} by removing two edges. Now, $H_1, \ldots, H_s, H_{s+1}$ contradicts the maximality of the above collection, which implies that S does not intersect $V_1 \cup V_2$.

If H_i for some i in $[s]$ is a copy of K_{k+1} minus two non-incident edges, then H_i contains $k-3$ vertices from V_2 and four vertices whose neighborhood induces a graph that arises from $K_1 \cup (K_{k-1} - e)$

by adding at most two edges, which implies

$$\sigma(V(H_i)) \leq (k-3)\frac{\binom{k}{2}-2}{\binom{k}{2}} + 4\frac{\binom{k-1}{2}-1+2}{\binom{k}{2}} = \frac{k^3-13k+28}{(k-1)k}.$$

If H_i for some i in $[s]$ is a copy of K_{k+1} minus two incident edges, then H_i contains $k-2$ vertices from V_2, two vertices whose neighborhood induces a graph that arises from $K_1 \cup K_{k-1}$ by adding at most one edge, and one vertex whose neighborhood induces a graph that arises from $K_1 \cup K_1 \cup K_{k-2}$ by adding at most one edge, which implies

$$\sigma(V(H_i)) \leq (k-2)\frac{\binom{k}{2}-2}{\binom{k}{2}} + 2\frac{\binom{k-1}{2}+1}{\binom{k}{2}} + \frac{\binom{k-2}{2}+1}{\binom{k}{2}} = \frac{k^3-13k+24}{(k-1)k}.$$

Using $|B| = s(k+1)$, we obtain

$$\sigma(B) = \sum_{i\in[s]} \sigma(V(H_i)) \leq |B|\frac{k^3-13k+28}{(k-1)k(k+1)} = |B|\left(1 - \frac{12k-28}{(k-1)k(k+1)}\right).$$

Since S does not intersect $V_1 \cup V_2$, we have

$$\sigma(S) \leq |S|\frac{\binom{k}{2}-3}{\binom{k}{2}} = |S|\left(1 - \frac{6}{(k-1)k}\right).$$

Since

$$\max\left\{1 - \frac{12k-28}{(k-1)k(k+1)}, 1 - \frac{6}{(k-1)k}\right\} < 1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}$$

for $k \geq 5$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) &= \frac{1}{n(G)}(\sigma(A)+\sigma(B)+\sigma(S)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n(G)}(|A|+|B|+|S|)\left(1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{6}{k(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $A = V(G)$ and $B = S = \emptyset$, which implies that $k+1$ divides n, and G equals $G(k, n/(k+1))$. This completes the proof. □

Recall that the *diamond* is the unique graph with degree sequence $2, 2, 3, 3$.

Theorem 2 *If G is a connected subcubic graph of order n at least 6, then*

$$C(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{7}{12} + \frac{12}{12n} & , \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4, \\ \frac{7}{12} + \frac{13}{12n} & , \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4, \\ \frac{7}{12} + \frac{14}{12n} & , \text{ if } n \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4, \text{ and} \\ \frac{7}{12} + \frac{11}{12n} & , \text{ if } n \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all connected subcubic graphs of order n at least 6. We assume that G is chosen within $\mathcal{G}$ in such a way that

(i) its clustering coefficient $C(G)$ is as large as possible,

(ii) subject to condition (i), the size $m(G)$ of G is as small as possible, and,

(iii) subject to conditions (i) and (ii), the number of triangles in G that contain at most one vertex of degree 2 in G is as small as possible.

We establish a series of structural properties of G.

Claim 1 *Every subgraph D of G that is a diamond is induced and forms an endblock.*

Proof of Claim 1: Since G is subcubic, connected, and of order more than 4, the subgraph D is induced. If all vertices in D have degree 3 in G, then contracting D to a single vertex u, adding a new triangle xyz, and adding the new edge ux yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G) + \frac{1}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. Note that the two neighbors of u in G' that are distinct from x may be adjacent, in which case $C(G') > C(G) + \frac{1}{3n}$. In view of the order, this implies that D contains exactly one vertex of degree 2, and, hence, forms an endblock of G. □

Claim 2 *Every edge of G that lies in some cycle also lies in some triangle.*

Proof of Claim 2: If the edge uv of G lies in some cycle but in no triangle, then removing uv yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G)$ and $m(G') < m(G)$, contradicting the choice of G. Note that, if some triangle of G contains u or v, then $C(G') > C(G)$. □

Claim 3 *Every block of G is K_2, K_3, or a diamond.*

Proof of Claim 3: Suppose, for a contradiction, that B is a block of G that is neither K_2, nor K_3, nor a diamond. Note that every edge of B lies in some cycle, and, hence, by Claim 2, also lies in some triangle. Let uvw be a triangle in B. Since B is not K_3, we may assume that u has a neighbor x in $V(B) \setminus \{v, w\}$. Since the edge ux of B lies in some triangle, we may assume that x and v are adjacent. Since B is not a diamond, we may assume, by symmetry, that x has a neighbor y in $V(B) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$. Since the edge xy of B lies in some triangle, we obtain that y is adjacent to u or v, contradicting the assumption that G is subcubic. □

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of blocks of G that are diamonds. For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, let $\mathcal{I}_i$ be the set of blocks of G that are triangles that contain exactly i vertices of degree 3 in G. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_2 \cup \mathcal{I}_3$. Finally, let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of vertices of G that do not lie in some triangle and have degree at most 2 in G.

The triangles in $\mathcal{I}$ are called *inner triangles*. By condition (iii), G has as few inner triangles as possible given the other conditions.

If $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has degree 2, then *resolving* u means to remove u from G, and to connect its two neighbors by a new edge. If $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has degree 1, then *resolving* u simply means to remove u from G. Note that resolving some vertex from $\mathcal{S}$ yields a connected subcubic graph G' of order $n-1$ with $C(G') \geq C(G)$.

Claim 4 *Either $\mathcal{D}$ or $\mathcal{I}$ is empty.*

Proof of Claim 4: Suppose, for a contradiction, that G contains a diamond D and an inner triangle T. By Claim 1, D contains a vertex u of degree 2 in G. Let v be a neighbor of u. If $T \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then contracting T to a single vertex w, removing u, adding a new triangle xyz, and adding the new edge xw yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G) + \frac{1}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. If $T \in \mathcal{I}_3$, then contracting T to a single vertex, removing u, adding a new triangle xyz, and adding the new edge xv yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G) + \frac{1}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. □

Claim 5 $|\mathcal{D}| \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 5: Suppose, for a contradiction, that G has three blocks B_1, B_2, and B_3 that are diamonds. By Claim 1, these are all endblocks, and removing the three vertices, say v_1, v_2, and v_3, of degree 2 in G from B_1, B_2, and B_3, adding a new triangle xyz, and adding a new edge between x and one of the two neighbors of v_1 in B_1 yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G) + \frac{2}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. □

Claim 6 *$\mathcal{S}$ is empty.*

Proof of Claim 6: Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\mathcal{S}$ contains some vertex u.

If $\mathcal{I}_2$ contains a triangle T, then resolving u, contracting T to a single vertex v, adding a new triangle xyz, and adding the new edge xv yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G) + \frac{2}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. If $\mathcal{I}_3$ contains a triangle T, then resolving u, contracting T to a single vertex v, adding a new triangle xyz, and then replacing one of the edges incident with v, say vw, with the two new edges xv and yw yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G) + \frac{2}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. Hence, we may assume that G has no inner triangles.

If G has a block B that is a triangle, then B is an endblock, and resolving u, adding a new vertex v, and adding two new edges between v and the two vertices of degree 2 in B yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G) + \frac{1}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G. Hence, we may assume that G has no blocks that are triangles.

If G has two blocks B_1 and B_2 that are diamonds, then B_1 and B_2 are endblocks by Claim 1, and resolving u, removing the two vertices, say v_1 and v_2, of degree 2 in G from B_1 and B_2, respectively, adding a new triangle xyz, and adding a new edge between x and one of the two neighbors of v_1 in B_1 yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G) + \frac{1}{n}$, contradicting the choice of G. Hence, since G is not a tree, we may assume that G has exactly one endblock B that is a diamond, and all other blocks of G are K_2s. In this case $C(G) = \frac{8}{3n}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ contains a graph G' with two endblocks that are triangles, we obtain $C(G') \geq \frac{14}{3n} > C(G)$, contradicting the choice of G. □

Recall that the *paw* is the unique graph with degree sequence $1, 2, 2, 3$.

Claim 7 $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 1$.

Proof of Claim 7: Suppose, for a contradiction, that G has two inner triangles T_1 and T_2.

If $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{I}_3$, then contracting both triangles to single vertices u_1 and u_2, adding a new paw P, and then replacing one of the edges incident with u_1, say u_1v, with the two new edges xu_1 and xv, where x is the vertex of degree 1 in P, yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G) + \frac{1}{3n}$, contradicting the choice of G.

If $T_1 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{I}_3$, then contracting both triangles to single vertices u_1 and u_2, adding a new diamond D, and adding the new edge xu_1, where x is a vertex of degree 2 in D, yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G)$, $m(G') = m(G)$, and less inner triangles than G, contradicting the choice of G.

If $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then replacing T_1 with an edge between the two vertices, say a and b, outside of T_1 that have neighbors in T_1, adding a new triangles xyz, and adding the new edge xu_2, where u_2 is the vertex in T_2 of degree 2 in G, yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G)$, $m(G') = m(G)$, and less inner triangles than G, contradicting the choice of G. Note that, in this last construction, the vertices a and b are non-adjacent in G by Claim 3, and two triangles that contributed to $\mathcal{I}_2$ are replaced by one that contributes to $\mathcal{I}_3$. □

For $t(G) = (|\mathcal{D}|, |\mathcal{I}_2|, |\mathcal{I}_3|)$, the above claims imply $t(G) \in \{(0,0,0), (1,0,0), (2,0,0), (0,0,1), (0,1,0)\}$. For each of these cases, we can determine $C(G)$ exactly. Let k be the number of vertices of G that do not lie in a triangle.

If $t(G) = (i,0,0)$ for some $i \in \{0,1,2\}$, then G arises from a tree of order $2k+2$ with k vertices of degree 3 and $k+2$ endvertices, by replacing $k+2-i$ endvertices with triangles and i endvertices with diamonds, and we obtain $n = 4k+6+i$, and

$$C(G) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{7}{3}(k+2-i) + \frac{8}{3}i\right) = \frac{7}{12} + \frac{14-3i}{12n}.$$

If $t(G) = (0,0,1)$, then G arises from a tree of order $2k+4$ with $k+1$ vertices of degree 3 and $k+3$ endvertices, by replacing all endvertices as well as one internal vertex with triangles, and we obtain $n = 4k+12$, and

$$C(G) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{7}{3}(k+3) + 1\right) = \frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

If $t(G) = (0,1,0)$, then G arises from a tree of order $2k+3$ with k vertices of degree 3, one vertex of degree 2, and $k+2$ endvertices, by replacing all endvertices as well as the vertex of degree 2 with triangles, and we obtain $n = 4k+9$, and

$$C(G) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{7}{3}(k+2) + \frac{5}{3}\right) = \frac{7}{12} + \frac{13}{12n}.$$

Considering the different parities of n modulo 4, the desired result follows. □

Our next goal is the characterization of all extremal graphs for (1). Therefore, let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be the set of all connected subcubic graphs G of order at least 6 such that every block of G is K_2, K_3, or a diamond, and every block of G that is a diamond is an endblock of G. Let the *type* $t(G)$ of a graph G in $\mathcal{B}_0$ be the 3-tuple (d, i_2, i_3), where d is the number of blocks of G that are diamonds, and, for j in $\{2,3\}$, i_j is the number of blocks of G that are triangles that contain exactly j vertices of degree 3 in G.

Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \Big\{G \in \mathcal{B}_0 : t(G) \in \{(0,0,0), (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1), (0,1,1), (0,2,0), (0,3,0)\}\Big\}.$$

Theorem 3 *If G is a connected subcubic graph of order at least 6, then G satisfies (1) with equality if and only if $G \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: First, let $G \in \mathcal{B}$. For $t(G) \in \{(0,0,0), (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)\}$, we verified at the end of the proof of Theorem 2 that (1) holds with equality. For $t(G) \in \{(0,1,1), (0,2,0), (0,3,0)\}$, very similar simple calculations imply the same.

Now, let G satisfy (1) with equality. Similarly as above, let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all connected subcubic graphs of order $n(G)$. We consider the claims from the proof of Theorem 2. Clearly, Claim 1 still holds. Suppose, for a contradiction, that Claim 2 fails, that is, G has some edge uv that lies in some cycle but in no triangle. Iteratively removing from G first the edge uv, and then further edges that lie in cycles but not in triangles as long as possible yields a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') \geq C(G)$ such that every edge of G' that lies in some cycle also lies in some triangle. Now, the argument from the proof of Claim 3 applies, and, hence, $G' \in \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. By (1) for G', we obtain $C(G') = C(G)$, which, as observed in the proof of Claim 2, implies that u and v are vertices of G' that do not lie in some triangle and have degree at most 2 in G'. Arguing as in the proof of Claim 6, we obtain the existence

of a graph G'' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G'') > C(G') = C(G)$, which contradicts (1) for G''. Hence, Claim 2 holds. Considering their respective proofs, it follows that also Claims 3, 4, 5, and 6 hold. Let the type $t(G)$ of G be (d, i_2, i_3). By Claim 5, $d \leq 2$. If $d = 2$, then, by Claim 4, $i_2 = i_3 = 0$, and the calculation at the end of the proof of Theorem 2 implies the contradiction that (1) does not hold with equality. Hence, $d \in \{0, 1\}$, and, if $d = 1$, then, by Claim 4, $i_2 = i_3 = 0$. If $i_3 \geq 2$, then arguing as in the proof of Claim 7 yields the existence of a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') > C(G)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $i_3 \leq 1$. If $i_2 \geq 4$, then arguing as in the proof of Claim 7 yields the existence of a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G)$ that is of type $(d', i'_2, i'_3) = (d, i_2 - 4, i_3 + 2)$. Now, as observed above, $i'_3 \geq 2$ implies the existence of a graph G'' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G'') > C(G') = C(G)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $i_2 \leq 3$. Finally, if $i_2 \geq 2$ and $i_3 = 1$, then arguing as in the proof of Claim 7 yields the existence of a graph G' in $\mathcal{G}$ with $C(G') = C(G)$ that is of type $(d', i'_2, i'_3) = (d, i_2 - 2, i_3 + 1)$. Again, as observed above, $i'_3 \geq 2$ implies a contradiction. Hence, $i_3 = 1$ implies $i_2 \leq 1$. Altogether, it follows that $G \in \mathcal{B}$, which completes the proof. □

Our final result shows that adding a single edge can increase the clustering coefficient of a graph from 0 to almost 1, which means that it is a rather sensitive parameter.

Theorem 4 *If G is a graph of order n at least 3, and u and v are non-adjacent vertices in G, then*

$$C(G + uv) \leq C(G) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{4}{n(n-1)}\right)$$

with equality if and only of G is $K_{2,n-2}$, and u and v are of degree $n - 2$ in G.

Proof: Since the statement is trivial if $d_G(u) = 0$ or $d_G(v) = 0$, we may assume that neither u nor v are isolated in G. Let $G' = G + uv$.

If w is a common neighbor of u and v in G, then the degree of w in G' equals the degree of w in G but $G'[N_{G'}(w)]$ contains exactly one edge more than $G[N_G(w)]$, which implies

$$C_w(G') - C_w(G) = \frac{1}{\binom{d_G(w)}{2}} \leq 1$$

with equality if and only if $d_G(w) = 2$.

If $d_G(u) \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
C_u(G') - C_u(G) &= \frac{m(G'[N_{G'}(u)])}{\binom{d_{G'}(u)}{2}} - \frac{m(G[N_G(u)])}{\binom{d_G(u)}{2}} \\
&= \frac{m(G[N_G(u)]) + |N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)|}{\binom{d_G(u)+1}{2}} - \frac{m(G[N_G(u)])}{\binom{d_G(u)}{2}} \\
&= \frac{2(d_G(u) - 1)|N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)| - 4m(G[N_G(u)])}{(d_G(u) + 1)d_G(u)(d_G(u) - 1)} \\
&\leq \frac{2(d_G(u) - 1)d_G(u) - 4m(G[N_G(u)])}{(d_G(u) + 1)d_G(u)(d_G(u) - 1)} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{d_G(u) + 1}
\end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $N_G(u) \subseteq N_G(v)$ and $N_G(u)$ is independent.

If $d_G(u) = 1$, then

$$C_u(G') - C_u(G) \leq 1 = \frac{2}{d_G(u) + 1}$$

with equality if and only if $N_G(u) \subseteq N_G(v)$ and $N_G(u)$ is independent.

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} n(C(G') - C(G)) &= (C_u(G') - C_u(G)) + (C_v(G') - C_v(G)) + \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)} (C_w(G') - C_w(G)) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{d_G(u)+1} + \frac{2}{d_G(v)+1} + |N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)| \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $N_G(u) = N_G(v)$ is independent and every vertex in $N_G(u)$ has degree 2 in G, that is, G is $K_{2,n-2}$, and u and v are of degree $n-2$ in G. Since $d := \min\{d_G(u), d_G(v)\} \leq n-2$ and the function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} : d \mapsto \frac{4}{d+1} + d$ is strictly increasing, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} n(C(G') - C(G)) &\leq \frac{2}{d_G(u)+1} + \frac{2}{d_G(v)+1} + |N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{d+1} + d \\ &\leq \frac{4}{n-1} + n - 2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

References

[1] A. Bonato, J. Janssen, and P. Pralat, Geometric protean graphs, Internet Mathematics 8 (2011) 2-28.

[2] T. Fukami, N. Takahashi, New classes of clustering coefficient locally maximizing graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 162 (2014) 202-213.

[3] T. Fukami, N. Takahashi, Graphs that locally maximize clustering coefficient in the space of graphs with a fixed degree sequence, to appear in Discrete Applied Mathematics.

[4] S. Koizuka, N. Takahashi, Maximum clustering coefficient of graphs with given number of vertices and edges, Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, IEICE 2 (2011) 443-457.

[5] T. Schank and D. Wagner, Approximating clustering coefficient and transitivity, Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications 9 (2005) 265-275.

[6] D.J. Watts and S.H. Strogatz, Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks, Nature 393 (1998) 440-442.

[7] D.J. Watts, Networks, dynamics, and the small-world phenomenon, American Journal of Sociology 105 (1999) 493-527.

[8] D.J. Watts, Small Worlds, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.